

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,420	03/09/2001	Raymond G. Blair	WC0001-A	7956
28168	7590 05/02/2002			_
CTS WIRELESS COMPONENTS/ CTS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
171 COVING	W DEPARTMENT STON DRIVE		BOSWELL, ALAN M	
BLOOMING	DALE, IL 60108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amplicantic			
·		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/802,420	BLAIR ET AL.			
omeericaen cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann	Alan M Boswell	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8.133)			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/09/	/O1				
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —		annoution on to the monitorio			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims AND Claim(a) 1.17 in/ora panding in the application					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/802,420 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent No. 4,692,726 to Green.

Regarding claims 1- 2 6 and 8, Green teaches forming a dielectric block 105 having a surface area including at one planar surface encasing the entire surface area of the dielectric block 105 with a conductive metal and laser etching unwanted conductive metal from the at least one planar designed surface of the dielectric block 210 to form at least some desired metallized circuit patterns (see col.3, lines 50-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of US Patent No. 5,769,988 to Kagata.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,420

Art Unit: 3729

Green teaches the above the limitations but fails to teach heating an electrically conductive metallic paste prior to the ablative etching to cause adherence of the conductive metal to the dielectric block.

Kagata teaches heating an electrically conductive metallic paste prior to the ablative etching to cause adherence of the conductive metal to the dielectric block for the purpose of obtaining a higher Q value (see col. 2, lines 18-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the invention of Green in light of the teaching of Kagata to coat the ceramic with a metallic paste.

5. Claims 7, 9 –11 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of US Patent No. 5,999,070 to Endo.

Green teaches the above the limitations but fails to teach removing a portion of the dielectric block to a depth sufficiently below the at least one planar surface to form a trench to electrically to form a trench to electrically isolate adjacent metallic areas formed by the ablative etching and providing a predetermined filter response.

Endo discloses removing a portion of the dielectric block to a depth sufficiently below the at least one planar surface to form a trench to electrically to form a trench 41,42)(which has been interpreted as being the same as non-conductive grooves) to electrically isolate adjacent metallic areas formed by the ablative etching and to form a first electrical filter circuit pattern of electrically isolated conductive elements having a first predetermined selected area filter frequency response (see col. 5, lines 52-67 and Fig. 4) in order to control the capacitive coupling in the dielectric filter.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,420

Art Unit: 3729

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the invention of Green in light of the teaching of Endo in order to control the capacitive coupling in the dielectric filter.

Regarding claims 10, 11, 15, 16 and 17, Green teaches forming a first terminal second terminal and third terminal-coupling antenna being formed on at least one of the block surfaces by removing surrounding metallic material and corresponding ceramic material sufficient to electrically isolate the input and the output from the surrounding conductive material and forming a duplexer for transmit/receive RF communication (see cols. 5 and 6, lines 35–67 and 1-29 respectively).

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Endo as applied to claims 8-11 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,769,988 to Kagata.

Green and Endo teach the above limitations but they fails to and utilizing an ambient

atmosphere during heating of the ceramic blocks to increase the Q of to ceramic blocks.

Kagata teaches utilizing an ambient atmosphere during heating of the ceramic blocks to increase the Q of to ceramic blocks (see col. 2, lines 30-35) in order to reduce the Q value losses due to in forming the filter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the invention of Green in light of the teaching of Kagata to reduce the Q value losses due to forming the filter.

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to show the state of the art in method of making RF Ceramic blocks filters.

US Patent No. 4965537 to Kommrusch.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information. M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan M Boswell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2572.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication

(703) 308-6789 or (888) 786-0101

Assignment Branch Certificates of Correction Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 308-9723 (703) 305-8309 (703) 305-8404/8335

Petitions/Special Programs Terminal Disclaimers PCT Help Desk (703) 305-9285 (703) 305-8408 (703) 305-3257

If the information desired is not provided above, or a number has been changed, please call the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page

1-800-786-9199 http://www.uspto.gov

ab April 29, 2002

> PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700